

**ANALYSIS OF BIANNUAL DATA FROM
ACCREDITED CONTRACTORS FOR THE
JANUARY TO JUNE 2014
REPORTING PERIOD**

Australian Government Building and Construction WHS
Accreditation Scheme

January - June 2014

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction1
- 2 Overview1
 - 2.1 Number of Accredited contractors.....1
 - 2.2 Applications2
 - 2.3 Number of Projects.....2
- 3 Analysis/Findings3
 - 3.1 Fatalities.....3
 - 3.2 Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR)5
 - 3.3 Medically Treated Injury Frequency Rate (MTIFR).....6
 - 3.4 Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR)7
 - 3.5 LTIFR/MTIFR/TRIFR Summary.....8
 - 3.6 Number of Notices Issued10
- 4 Incidents.....10
 - 4.1 Nature of injury10
 - 4.2 Mechanism of Injury.....11
 - 4.3 Location of Injury.....13
 - 4.4 High-risk Construction Work14
 - 4.5 Working Time Lost.....17
 - 4.6 Age Breakdown.....18
 - 4.7 Injured Person’s Occupation19
 - 4.8 Dangerous Occurrences.....20
 - 4.9 Workers’ Compensation21
- 5 Awards and Recognition22
- 6 Initiatives.....22
- Glossary23

1 Introduction


This report is produced by the Office of the Federal Safety Commissioner (OFSC). The report provides an overview and analysis of data collected from companies accredited under the Australian Government Building and Construction WHS Accreditation Scheme (the Scheme) for the period January to June 2014. Comparisons are also made with data collected from previous biannual periods where appropriate.

Under their conditions of accreditation accredited companies are required to submit biannual reports twice a year, in addition to incident reports, Scheme project reports and end of project reports. The data used in this report has been collected from a combination of biannual, incident, and Scheme project reports.

2 Overview

2.1 Number of Accredited contractors

The number of accredited contractors continues to grow, with 287 contractors submitting biannual reports for the January to June 2014 reporting period. This is a 5.13 per cent increase on the previous period. Of the 287 accredited contractors, 151 did not undertake Scheme projects during the period, with 18 undertaking no projects during the period whatsoever.



2.2 Applications

The OFSC received 81 applications for accreditation and reaccreditation during the January to June 2014 reporting period, which is a slight increase compared to the previous corresponding period (77 total for January to June 2013). Of these 81 applications, 45 were first time applications, and 36 were applications for reaccreditation.

Twenty-seven contractors gained accreditation for the first time during the period, while 29 contractors achieved reaccreditation.

Period	Applications for First Accreditation	Applications for Reaccreditation	Total Applications
2006	24	0	24
Jan to Jun 2007	61	0	61
Jul to Dec 2007	50	0	50
Jan to Jun 2008	35	0	35
Jul to Dec 2008	41	0	41
Jan to Jun 2009	58	0	58
Jul to Dec 2009	48	17	65
Jan to Jun 2010	29	34	63
July to Dec 2010	39	30	69
Jan to Jun 2011	34	19	53
Jul to Dec 2011	38	26	64
Jan to Jun 2012	33	19	52
Jul to Dec 2012	27	37	64
Jan to Jun 2013	41	36	77
Jul to Dec 2013	48	32	80
Jan to Jun 2014	45	36	81
Total	651	286	937

2.3 Number of Projects

The OFSC has been notified of a total of 1135 directly and indirectly funded contracts for building work with a combined value of \$58.55 billion that had been covered by the Scheme (as at 1 July 2014). Of the 1135 notified contracts, 319 were active and 816 were completed at the end of this reporting period.

The data gathered for this current reporting period includes non-Scheme projects valued at less than \$3 million. The data prior to the July 2010 reporting period only includes projects with a value of \$3 million or more.

Period	Number of Accredited contractors reporting active Scheme projects	Number of active Scheme projects	Number of Accredited contractors Reporting non-Scheme projects	Number of non-scheme projects
Jul to Dec 2007	25	42	58	1,019
Jan to Jun 2008	32	71	85	1,212
Jul to Dec 2008	44	103	107	1,416
Jan to Jun 2009	61	128	124	1,730
Jul to Dec 2009	75	183	145	2,170
Jan to Jun 2010	94	249	153	2,255
July to Dec 2010	102	293	177	6,943
Jan to Jun 2011	108	329	185	7,861
Jul to Dec 2011	113	343	197	11,081
Jan to Jun 2012	110	357	218	8,824
Jul to Dec 2012	117	347	228	7,235
Jan to Jun 2013	126	339	237	11,568
Jul to Dec 2013	137	362	254	13,016
Jan to Jun 2014	136	335	269	13,695

Period	Scheme projects (million hours)	Non-Scheme projects any value (million hours)	All projects (million hours)
Jul to Dec 2010	41.97	147.44	189.40
Jan to Jun 2011	26.29	135.95	162.24
Jul to Dec 2011	31.92	135.29	167.20
Jan to Jun 2012	29.94	139.57	169.51
Jul to Dec 2012	43.80	131.05	174.85
Jan to Jun 2013	33.66	135.78	169.45
Jul to Dec 2013	31.86	152.89	184.75
Jan to Jun 2014	30.57	137.58	168.15

3 Analysis/Findings

3.1 Fatalities

For the first time in the history of the Scheme there were no fatalities on both Scheme and non-Scheme projects in the January to June 2014 period.

These figures do not include deaths from heart attacks or other natural causes. The fatality frequency rate for non-Scheme projects includes hours worked on projects valued at less than \$3 million, while the Scheme fatality frequency rate does not (there are no Scheme projects valued under \$3 million). The result is a relative inflation of the fatality frequency rate on Scheme projects when compared to the rate on non-Scheme projects.

Period	Number of Fatalities on Scheme projects	Scheme project Fatalities frequency rate	Number of Fatalities on non-Scheme projects	Non-Scheme projects Fatalities frequency rate	Number of Fatalities all projects	All projects Fatalities frequency rate
Jul to Dec 2007	1	NA	0	NA	1	NA
Jan to Jun 2008	0	NA	6	NA	6	NA
Jul to Dec 2008	0	NA	4	NA	4	NA
Jan to Jun 2009	1	NA	4	NA	5	NA
Jul to Dec 2009	0	0.00	1	1.07	1	0.92
Jan to Jun 2010	1	4.36	0	0.00	1	0.66
Jul to Dec 2010	2	4.77	2	1.35	4	2.39
Jan to Jun 2011	0	0.00	1	0.70	1	0.60
Jul to Dec 2011	3	9.40	3	2.22	6	3.59
Jan to Jun 2012	0	0.00	6	4.85	6	3.90
Jul to Dec 2012	2	4.57	3	2.29	5	2.86
Jan to Jun 2013	2	5.94	3	2.21	5	2.95
Jul to Dec 2013	0	0.00	1	0.66	1	0.54
Jan to Jun 2014	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00

3.2 Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR)

The Scheme mean LTIFR for the January to June 2014 period (11.03) increased from the corresponding period in 2013 by 80.82 per cent, while the winsorised mean decreased by 17.16 per cent from 1.69 to 1.40. The non-Scheme project mean LTIFR for the period (10.38) decreased by more than 100 per cent when compared to the January to June 2013 period, with the winsorised mean LTIFR (3.88) increasing by only 0.23 per cent from the corresponding period in 2013.

Period	Scheme project median	Scheme project Arithmetic mean	Scheme project Winsorised mean	Non-Scheme project median	Non-Scheme project Arithmetic mean	Non-Scheme project Winsorised mean
Jul to Dec 2007	0.00	6.94	4.04	4.65	10.06	7.52
Jan to Jun 2008	0.00	9.24	8.72	4.95	10.41	9.05
Jul to Dec 2008	0.00	7.44	6.21	4.65	12.22	7.36
Jan to Jun 2009	0.00	12.86	10.35	3.50	11.54	6.10
Jul to Dec 2009	0.00	9.36	7.68	3.00	11.61	8.28
Jan to Jun 2010	0.00	21.99	3.21	3.73	11.34	8.61
Jul to Dec 2010	0.00	5.54	3.43	0.00	13.83	4.76
Jan to Jun 2011	0.00	10.17	3.98	0.00	8.97	3.97
Jul to Dec 2011	0.00	20.60	6.82	0.60	8.01	5.45
Jan to Jun 2012	0.00	4.14	2.04	0.00	7.38	4.30
Jul to Dec 2012	0.00	13.54	1.88	0.00	6.15	2.71
Jan to Jun 2013	0.00	6.10	1.69	0.00	21.60	3.87
Jul to Dec 2013	0.00	8.88	3.93	0.00	7.69	3.13
Jan to Jun 2014	0.00	11.03	1.40	0.00	10.38	3.88

Scheme LTIFR by construction type

When separated by industry sector, Scheme work carried out by accredited contractors on Commercial projects recorded the highest mean LTIFR (11.87), followed by Civil projects (11.39) and Residential projects (0.84).

	Residential	Civil	Commercial	All
Mean	0.84	11.39	11.87	11.03
Median	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Winsorised Mean	0.00	0.25	1.99	1.40

Non-Scheme LTIFR by construction type

Non-Scheme work carried out by accredited contractors on Commercial projects recorded the highest mean LTIFR (16.05), followed by Residential projects (7.31) and Civil projects (3.07).

	Residential	Civil	Commercial	All
Mean	7.31	3.07	16.05	10.38
Median	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Winsorised Mean	1.47	1.20	4.72	3.88

3.3 Medically Treated Injury Frequency Rate (MTIFR)

The Scheme project mean MTIFR for the period has decreased for the fourth consecutive corresponding period. The winsorised mean has increased when compared with the previous corresponding period, while both the non-Scheme project mean MTIFR and Winsorised mean MTIFR decreased from the corresponding period in 2013.

Period	Scheme project median	Scheme project Arithmetic mean	Scheme project Winsorised mean	Non-Scheme project median	Non-Scheme project Arithmetic mean	Non-Scheme project Winsorised mean
Jul to Dec 2007	0.00	12.06	9.53	19.90	26.23	23.32
Jan to Jun 2008	0.00	18.06	16.29	19.00	29.39	24.36
Jul to Dec 2008	2.78	21.79	14.50	13.18	21.10	16.67
Jan to Jun 2009	8.58	33.93	22.78	14.32	26.82	17.21
Jul to Dec 2009	13.04	21.84	16.62	18.17	38.51	28.73
Jan to Jun 2010	0.00	34.67	16.95	21.03	40.15	28.45
Jul to Dec 2010	0.00	11.30	6.44	12.71	63.91	21.07
Jan to Jun 2011	0.00	19.93	8.12	11.02	36.31	20.00
Jul to Dec 2011	0.00	16.30	7.23	10.83	34.12	18.10
Jan to Jun 2012	0.00	13.13	9.65	10.24	32.21	18.69
Jul to Dec 2012	0.00	14.77	5.00	9.08	40.91	17.16
Jan to Jun 2013	0.00	7.52	3.78	8.28	29.95	16.89
Jul to Dec 2013	0.00	11.96	5.52	8.36	52.12	16.90
Jan to Jun 2014	0.00	6.58	4.47	7.22	26.25	14.53

Scheme MTIFR by construction type

Scheme Commercial construction projects recorded the highest mean MTIFR (9.09), followed by Civil projects (7.20) and Residential projects (3.44). The winsorised mean MTIFR for Civil and Residential Scheme projects were significantly lower than their arithmetic mean for both; the result of a number of high outliers.

	Residential	Civil	Commercial	All
Mean	3.44	7.20	9.09	6.58
Median	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Winsorised Mean	0.62	2.73	6.03	4.47

Non-Scheme MTIFR by construction type

Non-Scheme Commercial construction projects recorded the highest mean MTIFR (27.31), closely followed by Civil projects (24.42) and Residential projects (14.00). The Commercial and Civil mean MTIFRs are almost double their winsorised means, which is a result of a high number of outliers.

	Residential	Civil	Commercial	All
Mean	14.00	24.42	27.31	26.25
Median	7.63	3.08	11.07	7.22
Winsorised Mean	13.44	13.26	14.95	14.53

3.4 Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR)

In response to industry feedback the OFSC has added a Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR) calculation to this biannual data analysis report. The following table provides the median, mean and winsorised mean figures for the January to June 2014 Biannual period.

Note: TRIFR does not include hours worked on projects less than \$3 million, or fatalities on projects less than \$3 million.

Period	Scheme project median	Scheme project Arithmetic mean	Scheme project Winsorised mean	Non-Scheme project median	Non-Scheme project Arithmetic mean	Non-Scheme project Winsorised mean
Jan to Jun 2014	0.00	17.61	8.04	12.05	36.62	20.71

Scheme TRIFR by construction type

Scheme Commercial construction projects recorded the highest mean TRIFR (20.95), followed by Civil projects (18.59) and Residential projects (4.28).

	Residential	Civil	Commercial	All
Mean	4.28	18.59	20.95	17.61
Median	0.00	0.00	5.14	0.00
Winsorised Mean	1.24	4.67	12.32	8.04


Non-Scheme TRIFR by construction type

Non-Scheme Commercial construction projects recorded the highest mean TRIFR (43.36), followed by Civil projects (27.50) and Residential projects (21.31). The lowest mean TRIFR on non-Scheme projects (Residential, with 21.31) is higher than the highest mean TRIFR on Scheme projects (Commercial, with 20.95).


	Residential	Civil	Commercial	All
Mean	21.31	27.50	43.36	36.62
Median	7.63	5.15	16.00	12.05
Winsorised Mean	16.61	16.58	21.87	20.71

3.5 LTIFR/MTIFR/TRIFR Summary


The graph below summarises the LTIFR figures across construction types and Scheme and non-Scheme projects. The Scheme LTIFR exceeds the non-Scheme LTIFR on Civil and All projects whereas the non-Scheme LTIFR exceeds the Scheme LTIFR on Commercial and Residential projects.



The following graph summarises the MTIFR figures across construction types and Scheme and non-Scheme projects, with the Scheme MTIFR never exceeding the non-Scheme MTIFR.



The following graph summarises the TRIFR figures across construction types and Scheme and non-Scheme projects, with the non-Scheme TRIFR exceeding the Scheme TRIFR in each category.



3.6 Number of Notices Issued

There has been a 12.5 per cent increase in the number of Improvement notices issued to accredited contractors when compared to the corresponding period in 2013. Infringement, Prohibition and Other notices all slightly decreased; with the lowest number of Prohibition notices recorded in the history of the Scheme. Overall, Total notices reached 173, a 6.63 per cent increase on the previous corresponding period.


Period	Infringement Notices	Prohibition Notices	Improvement Notices	Other Notices (eg enforceable undertakings)	Total Notices
Jan–Jun 2011	10	63	140	7	220
Jul–Dec 2011	2	51	137	1	191
Jan–Jun 2012	4	52	136	5	197
Jul–Dec 2012	46	46	143	5	240
Jan–Jun 2013	8	41	112	5	166
Jul–Dec 2013	1	43	104	7	155
Jan–Jun 2014	5	39	126	3	173

4 Incidents

Accredited contractors are required to provide incident reports for lost time injuries, medically treated injuries and notifiable dangerous occurrences that occur on Scheme projects, as well as lost time injuries that occur on non-Scheme projects valued at greater than \$3 million. Incident reports for all fatalities—regardless of project value—must also be submitted.

4.1 Nature of injury

Wounds, lacerations, amputations and internal organ damage injuries (39.54 per cent) have decreased when compared to the corresponding period in 2013, but still remain the highest occurring injuries, while 26.89 per cent of injuries relate to *Traumatic joint/ligament and muscle/tendons*. These two categories make up almost two thirds of the total injuries reported.




Nature of Injury

Period	Injury A	Injury B	Injury C	Injury D	Injury E	Injury F	Injury G	Injury H
Jul to Dec 2011	0.76%	10.51%	32.57%	1.43%	1.24%	33.81%	19.20%	0.48%
Jan to Jun 2012	0.87%	9.89%	38.57%	1.24%	1.11%	31.77%	15.70%	0.87%
Jul to Dec 2012	0.81%	12.53%	37.06%	2.02%	1.62%	26.95%	17.65%	1.35%
Jan to Jun 2013	0.16%	12.28%	43.22%	2.71%	0.48%	21.69%	19.14%	0.32%
Jul to Dec 2013	0.78%	13.40%	36.92%	2.49%	0.93%	28.97%	14.95%	1.56%
Jan to Jun 2014	0.18%	15.64%	39.54%	0.70%	1.05%	26.89%	15.29%	0.70%

4.2 Mechanism of Injury

The top four mechanisms of injury reported to the OFSC were *Being hit by moving objects* (26.36 per cent), *Hitting objects with part of the body* (25.31 per cent), *Falls trips and slips of a person* (23.20 per cent), and *Body Stressing* (18.45 per cent). These mechanisms account for 93.32 per cent of all injuries reported during the period. These are the same four categories that were the top four identified in the corresponding period for the previous year.

Mechanism of Injury




Mechanism of Injury

Period	Mech. 0	Mech. 1	Mech. 2	Mech. 3	Mech. 4	Mech. 5	Mech. 6	Mech. 7	Mech. 8	Mech. 9
Jul to Dec 2011	20.92%	21.78%	23.78%	0.29%	24.74%	2.10%	1.91%	0.67%	0.00%	3.82%
Jan to Jun 2012	21.26%	23.49%	25.34%	0.00%	20.64%	2.84%	2.10%	1.73%	0.25%	2.35%
Jul to Dec 2012	21.83%	20.89%	28.57%	0.13%	19.54%	3.91%	1.62%	0.94%	0.27%	2.29%
Jan to Jun 2013	15.31%	24.40%	31.74%	1.12%	17.38%	4.15%	2.71%	0.32%	0.16%	2.71%
Jul to Dec 2013	19.00%	19.78%	28.97%	0.62%	22.90%	2.65%	2.02%	0.62%	0.00%	3.43%
Jan to Jun 2014	23.20%	25.31%	26.36%	0.18%	18.45%	1.41%	0.88%	0.88%	0.00%	3.34%

4.3 Location of Injury

Over 65 per cent of injuries reported were sustained to *upper limbs* (40.65 per cent) and *lower limbs* (28.19 per cent). Both of these locations of injury have increased when compared to the corresponding period in 2013. Injuries sustained to the *Head* (8.44 per cent) recorded the biggest drop, falling to its lowest total to date.




Location of Injury

Period	Loc. 1	Loc. 2	Loc. 3	Loc. 4	Loc. 5	Loc. 6	Loc. 7	Loc. 8	Loc. 9
Jul to Dec 2011	10.51%	1.81%	20.92%	34.48%	27.22%	2.29%	0.29%	0.19%	2.29%
Jan to Jun 2012	9.52%	2.10%	16.81%	37.33%	28.55%	2.10%	0.25%	0.62%	2.72%
Jul to Dec 2012	11.19%	1.21%	17.12%	34.91%	27.49%	3.50%	0.27%	0.27%	4.04%
Jan to Jun 2013	12.12%	1.12%	14.83%	36.84%	28.71%	2.55%	0.32%	0.64%	2.87%
Jul to Dec 2013	10.44%	1.25%	13.86%	40.65%	28.19%	3.58%	0.47%	0.31%	1.25%
Jan to Jun 2014	8.44%	2.64%	15.11%	38.84%	30.58%	1.76%	0.88%	0.18%	1.58%

4.4 High-risk Construction Work

When submitting incident reports, accredited contractors are required to disclose – where applicable – if any high-risk construction work was taking place at the time of the incident. Of the incident reports submitted, 26.26 per cent nominated high-risk construction work as having been undertaken at the time of the incident. The three most common categories of high-risk work taking place at the time of an incident were:

- construction work on construction sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant (33.95 per cent)
- construction work with risk of a person falling two metres or more (17.90 per cent)
- construction work on or adjacent to roadways or railways used by road or rail traffic (11.11 per cent)




*See glossary for high-risk construction work details

High-risk Construction Work

Period	Jul to Dec 2011	Jan to Jun 2012	Jul to Dec 2012	Jan to Jun 2013	Jul to Dec 2013	Jan to Jun 2014
Risk 1	20.81%	16.73%	16.46%	11.63%	13.68%	17.90%
Risk 2	0.00%	0.00%	0.41%	0.00%	0.00%	1.23%
Risk 3	2.54%	4.67%	1.65%	2.33%	3.16%	3.70%
Risk 4	0.00%	1.56%	0.82%	1.86%	3.16%	3.70%
Risk 5	2.03%	1.95%	2.06%	2.33%	2.11%	5.56%
Risk 6	0.00%	1.17%	0.82%	0.00%	0.53%	0.62%
Risk 7	2.54%	1.95%	2.47%	3.26%	3.68%	1.85%
Risk 8	0.51%	1.95%	7.00%	5.58%	3.16%	6.79%
Risk 9	0.00%	0.39%	0.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Risk 10	0.00%	1.56%	0.00%	3.72%	2.63%	4.94%
Risk 11	0.51%	1.56%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Risk 12	2.54%	8.56%	8.23%	13.02%	10.00%	4.32%
Risk 13	0.00%	1.17%	1.23%	0.47%	0.53%	0.00%
Risk 14	7.11%	8.17%	4.12%	2.79%	3.16%	3.09%
Risk 15	30.46%	19.46%	20.58%	18.14%	15.79%	11.11%
Risk 16	27.92%	26.46%	32.92%	33.95%	35.26%	33.95%
Risk 17	1.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.47%	0.53%	0.00%
Risk 18	2.03%	2.33%	0.82%	0.00%	2.63%	1.23%
Risk 19	0.00%	0.39%	0.00%	0.47%	0.00%	0.00%


Looking at the percentage of a number of these high risk hazards in relation to the previous corresponding period, Risks 1 (falling two metres or more), 12 (Construction work on or near energised electrical installations and services) and 15 (roadways or railways used by road or rail traffic) were the biggest movers. Although Risk 1 has experienced a decrease in proportion over the previous five periods, it has increased back up to 17.90 per cent in the sixth period. Risks 12 and 15 also recorded sizeable drops, with risk 12 dropping from the third highest Risk in January to June 2013 to seventh in the January to June 2014 period. Risk 15 has continued its gradual drop over the six periods. Risk 16 (movement of powered mobile plant) remains the most prevalent risk associated with incidents (33.95 per cent) followed by Risk 1 (17.90 per cent).

High Risk Hazards - Percentage Over Time



4.5 Working Time Lost

The most common length of time an injured worker was absent from work was *between one and three days* (41.71 per cent), which is a decrease from the previous corresponding reporting period in 2013. There was an increase to the proportion of injuries resulting in *One week to less than two weeks* of working time lost (15.83 per cent compared to 12.27 per cent in the corresponding period in 2013), and *Two weeks to less than one month* (11.31 per cent compared to 8.80 per cent in the corresponding period in 2013). Over 80 per cent of workers who suffered a lost time injury returned to work in less than two weeks.




Working Time Lost

Period	A	B	C	D	E	F
Jul to Dec 2011	45.26%	22.40%	13.98%	9.47%	7.22%	1.65%
Jan to Jun 2012	46.41%	17.66%	13.55%	12.53%	8.21%	1.64%
Jul to Dec 2012	34.79%	25.00%	13.75%	13.33%	9.58%	3.54%
Jan to Jun 2013	46.67%	23.20%	12.27%	8.80%	6.67%	2.40%
Jul to Dec 2013	38.67%	20.82%	17.85%	13.73%	7.09%	1.83%
Jan to Jun 2014	41.71%	22.61%	15.83%	11.31%	7.79%	0.75%

4.6 Age Breakdown

Over 75 per cent of injured workers were below the age of 45. Although decreasing from the previous corresponding reporting period, the 25-34 age bracket continues to account for the highest number of reported incidents (31.84 per cent).




Incident Age Breakdown

Period	15-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 & Over
Jul to Dec 2011	19.77%	28.65%	21.97%	19.96%	8.69%	0.96%
Jan to Jun 2012	20.77%	28.18%	22.13%	20.02%	7.66%	1.24%
Jul to Dec 2012	17.84%	33.24%	25.00%	15.14%	7.43%	1.35%
Jan to Jun 2013	18.20%	33.33%	20.93%	16.59%	9.66%	1.29%
Jul to Dec 2013	19.55%	34.62%	23.24%	13.30%	8.65%	0.64%
Jan to Jun 2014	19.48%	31.84%	25.47%	16.48%	5.99%	0.75%

4.7 Injured Person's Occupation

Almost 90 per cent of people injured in reports submitted to the OFSC were Labourers (41.65 per cent) or Tradesmen (47.28 per cent).



Workers Occupation

Period	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Jul to Dec 2011	42.22%	47.47%	1.15%	1.43%	1.72%	0.19%	5.83%
Jan to Jun 2012	45.49%	44.99%	2.10%	1.11%	1.48%	0.37%	4.45%
Jul to Dec 2012	41.37%	48.92%	2.02%	0.54%	2.16%	0.13%	4.85%
Jan to Jun 2013	42.58%	47.69%	1.91%	0.48%	1.91%	0.00%	5.42%
Jul to Dec 2013	37.85%	49.84%	2.34%	0.93%	2.18%	0.31%	6.54%
Jan to Jun 2014	41.65%	47.28%	1.05%	1.05%	2.11%	0.53%	6.33%

4.8 Dangerous Occurrences

The OFSC encourages companies to accurately report Dangerous Occurrences both internally and to external bodies such as the OFSC. A Dangerous Occurrence (or 'near miss') can be as revealing of WHS system inadequacies as an incident that *does* result in an injury or fatality.

Fifty-three Scheme Dangerous Occurrences were reported to the OFSC in the January to June 2014 reporting period; the lowest in the history of the Scheme.

There was again some correlation between the circumstances of the Dangerous Occurrences reported to the OFSC and those of the incidents resulting in injury. The most common high-risk work nominated in Dangerous Occurrence incident reports was also the most commonly nominated in LTI/MTI/Fatality reports (work on construction sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant).

Dangerous Occurrences

Period	Dangerous Occurrences
Jul to Dec 2011	79
Jan to Jun 2012	89
Jul to Dec 2012	83
Jan to Jun 2013	84
Jul to Dec 2013	76
Jan to Jun 2014	53

4.9 Workers' Compensation

Accredited Companies

Period	Mean premium rate ACT %	Mean premium rate NSW %	Mean premium rate NT %	Mean premium rate QLD %	Mean premium rate SA %	Mean premium rate TAS %	Mean premium rate VIC %	Mean premium rate WA %
Jul to Dec 2007	5.589	3.069	2.675	1.346	2.940	.	3.098	2.496
Jan to Jun 2008	4.962	3.508	2.355	1.438	3.037	.	2.054	3.348
Jul to Dec 2008	4.274	3.106	2.261	1.568	3.750	1.087	2.297	2.066
Jan to Jun 2009	3.742	2.811	1.973	1.117	3.832	1.155	2.289	2.342
Jul to Dec 2009	3.849	3.351	2.376	1.424	3.695	1.302	2.202	1.948
Jan to Jun 2010	3.521	2.975	2.372	1.316	3.560	1.475	2.270	1.731
Jul to Dec 2010	3.025	3.051	2.389	1.548	3.845	1.015	1.980	1.896
Jan to Jun 2011	3.699	3.014	2.310	1.449	3.668	1.701	1.905	1.767
Jul to Dec 2011	3.534	3.019	2.028	1.735	2.913	2.277	1.746	1.518
Jan to Jun 2012	3.712	3.102	3.508	1.717	3.204	2.014	1.680	3.048
Jul to Dec 2012	3.488	3.177	2.303	1.702	2.981	1.858	1.773	1.568
Jan to Jun 2013	3.442	3.217	2.324	1.769	2.801	1.935	1.584	1.627
Jul to Dec 2013	3.318	2.906	2.334	1.728	2.705	2.275	1.531	1.466
Jan to Jun 2014	3.750	2.851	2.125	1.713	2.805	2.234	1.524	1.533

Industry

Period	Mean premium rate ACT %	Mean premium rate NSW %	Mean premium rate NT %	Mean premium rate QLD %	Mean premium rate SA %	Mean premium rate TAS %	Mean premium rate VIC %	Mean premium rate WA %
House construction September 2014 ¹	NA	3.666	NA	2.437	3.19	4.23	1.736	1.11
Non-residential construction September 2014 ¹	NA	2.858	NA	2.33	3.08	3.58	1.625	2.02

¹ Source: Safe Work Australia publication Comparison of Workers' Compensation Arrangements in Australia and New Zealand July 2015, Table 7.6 Selected Industry Premium Rates as at 30 September 2014, pages 199-202.

5 Awards and Recognition

During this reporting period accredited contractors have been the recipients of a number of prestigious safety awards, including—but not limited to—the following:

- Built Holdings Pty Ltd, BUILT NSW Pty Ltd, Built QLD Pty Ltd, Built Vic Pty Ltd - National HSE&Q Manager, Jeff Lane, was appointed Secretary of the NSW Construction Safety Education Forum.
- Mcllwain Civil Engineering Pty Ltd - won the national earth award for the delivery of the AJ Wyllie Bridge project for construction, safety and environment innovation, and sustainability.
- Guideline ACT Pty Ltd - won an award for Workplace Health and Safety at the 2014 MBA ACT Awards (civil safety category).

6 Initiatives

Accredited contractors submit details of any safety initiatives developed by their company during the reporting period. Many of these initiatives will form the basis of case studies and fact sheets to be published on fsc.gov.au over the coming months.

Glossary

Arithmetic mean (average) - The mean is the sum of all the scores divided by the number of scores.

Dangerous occurrence - An incident where no person is injured, but could have been injured, resulting in Serious Personal Injury, Incapacity or Death. Also commonly called a “near miss”.

Fatality Frequency Rate – Fatality Frequency rates are calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Number of incidences}}{\text{Number of hours worked}} \times 100,000,000 \text{ (hours)}$$

Frequency rate - Frequency rates are calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Number of incidences}}{\text{Number of hours worked}} \times 1,000,000 \text{ (hours)}$$

High-risk construction work hazards

1. Construction work where there is a risk of a person falling two metres or more
2. Construction work on telecommunications towers
3. Construction work involving demolition
4. Construction work involving the disturbance or removal of asbestos
5. Construction work involving structural alterations that require temporary support to prevent collapse
6. Construction work involving a confined space
7. Construction work involving excavation to a depth greater than 1.5 metres
8. The construction of tunnels
9. Construction work involving the use of explosives
10. Construction work on or near pressurised gas distribution mains and consumer piping
11. Construction work on or near chemical, fuel or refrigerant lines
12. Construction work on or near energised electrical installations and services
13. Construction work in an area that may have a contaminated or flammable atmosphere
14. Tilt-up and precast concrete construction work
15. Construction work on or adjacent to roadways or railways used by road or rail traffic
16. Work on construction sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant
17. Construction work in an area where there are artificial extremes of temperature
18. Construction work in, over or adjacent to water or other liquids where there is a risk of drowning
19. Construction work involving diving

Incident - An incident resulting in an injury that is required to be notified by the WHS legislative requirement for notifiable incidents in the jurisdiction in which the project is being undertaken.

LTIFR (Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate) - The number of occurrences of lost time injury that result in a fatality, a permanent disability or time lost from work of one day shift or more in the period. The number of hours worked refers to the total number of hours worked by all workers in the period, including overtime and extra shifts.

Mechanism of incident classification –

Major Groups

0. Falls, trips and slips of a person
1. Hitting objects with a part of the body
2. Being hit by moving objects
3. Sound and pressure
4. Body stressing
5. Heat, electricity and other environmental factors
6. Chemicals and other substances
7. Biological factors
8. Mental stress
9. Vehicle incidents and other

Median - The median is the middle of a distribution; half the scores are above the median and half are below the median. If the number of values in the data set is even, then the median is the average of the two middle values. The median is less sensitive to extreme scores than the average.

MTIFR (Medically Treated Injury Frequency Rate) - The number of occurrences of treatment by, or under the order of, a qualified medical practitioner, or any injury that could be considered as being one that would normally be treated by a medical practitioner. The number of hours worked refers to the total number of hours worked by all workers in the period, including overtime and extra shifts.

Nature of injury classification

- A. Intracranial injuries
- B. Fractures
- C. Wounds, lacerations, amputations and internal organ damage
- D. Burns
- E. Injury to nerves and spinal cord
- F. Traumatic joint/ligament and muscle/tendon injury
- G. Other injuries
- H. Diseases and conditions

Non-Scheme projects – Projects where the accredited contractor is the head contractor, the value of building work is \$3 million or more, and the project is not a Scheme project.

Scheme projects - Projects that are directly funded by the Australian Government with a value of \$3 million or more, plus, projects that are indirectly funded by the Australian Government where:

- the value of the Australian Government contribution to the project is at least \$5 million and represents at least 50 per cent of the total construction project value; or
- the Australian Government contribution to a project is \$10 million or more, irrespective of the proportion of Australian Government funding.

TRIFR (Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate) – The total number of Medically Treated Injuries, Lost Time Injuries and Fatalities in the defined period divided by the number of hours worked in the period, multiplied by one million.

Winsorised mean - involves the calculation of the mean after replacing given parts of a distribution at the high and low end with the most extreme remaining values, typically replacing an equal amount of both ends. Often 10 per cent of the ends are replaced. The winsorised mean is a useful estimator because it is less sensitive to outliers than the mean but will still give a reasonable estimate of central tendency.