

**Biannual Report Data Analysis January to June 2017**

**Analysis of Biannual Data from Accredited Contractors**

**January to June 2017**

**Reporting Period**



Contents

[1 Introduction 3](#_Toc506543528)

[2 Overview 3](#_Toc506543529)

[2.1 Number of Accredited Contractors 3](#_Toc506543530)

[2.2 Applications and Accreditations 4](#_Toc506543531)

[2.3 Number of Projects and Hours Worked 5](#_Toc506543532)

[3 Analysis/Findings 7](#_Toc506543533)

[3.1 Fatalities 7](#_Toc506543534)

[3.2 Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR) 8](#_Toc506543535)

[3.3 Medically Treated Injury Frequency Rate (MTIFR) 9](#_Toc506543536)

[3.4 Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR) 10](#_Toc506543537)

[3.5 LTIFR/MTIFR/TRIFR Summary 11](#_Toc506543538)

[3.6 Number of Notices Issued 13](#_Toc506543539)

[4 Incidents 14](#_Toc506543540)

[4.1 Nature of Injury 14](#_Toc506543541)

[4.2 Mechanism of Injury 16](#_Toc506543542)

[4.3 Location of Injury 18](#_Toc506543543)

[4.4 High-risk Construction Work 20](#_Toc506543544)

[4.5 Working Time Lost 23](#_Toc506543545)

[4.6 Age Breakdown 24](#_Toc506543546)

[4.7 Injured Worker’s Occupation 25](#_Toc506543547)

[4.8 Dangerous Occurrences 26](#_Toc506543548)

[4.9 Workers’ Compensation 27](#_Toc506543549)

[5 Awards and Recognition 28](#_Toc506543550)

[6 Initiatives 28](#_Toc506543551)

[Glossary 29](#_Toc506543552)

# 1 Introduction

This report is produced by the Office of the Federal Safety Commissioner (OFSC). The report provides an overview and analysis of data collected from companies accredited under the Work Health and Safety Accreditation Scheme (the Scheme) for the period January to June 2017. Comparisons are also made with data collected from previous biannual periods where appropriate.

Under their conditions of accreditation, accredited contractors are required to submit biannual reports twice a year, in addition to incident reports, Scheme project reports and end of project reports. The data used in this report has been collected from a combination of biannual, incident and Scheme project reports.

Key terms and performance measures used throughout this report are defined in the Glossary commencing on page 30.

# 2 Overview

## 2.1 Number of Accredited Contractors

The number of accredited contractors continues to grow, with 347[[1]](#footnote-1) contractors submitting biannual reports for the January to June 2017 reporting period. This is a 2.06 per cent increase on the previous period. The number of accredited contractors has increased every year since the Scheme commenced in 2006. Of the 347 accredited contractors, 220 (63.40 per cent) did not undertake Scheme projects during the period, with 23 (6.63 per cent) undertaking no projects as the head contractor during the period.

## 2.2 Applications and Accreditations

The OFSC continues to receive new applications from companies seeking accreditation under the Scheme with 25 applications for initial accreditation received during the January to June 2017 reporting period.

Fifty reaccreditation applications were received during the period, bringing the total number of applications to 75, which is a decrease compared to the previous corresponding period (84 total for January to June 2016). Eighteen contractors gained accreditation for the first time during the period, while 43 contractors achieved reaccreditation.

| Period | Applications for First Accreditation | Applications for Reaccreditation | Total Applications |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2006 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| Jan to Jun 2007 | 61 | 0 | 61 |
| Jul to Dec 2007 | 50 | 0 | 50 |
| Jan to Jun 2008 | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| Jul to Dec 2008 | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| Jan to Jun 2009 | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| Jul to Dec 2009 | 48 | 17 | 65 |
| Jan to Jun 2010 | 29 | 34 | 63 |
| July to Dec 2010 | 39 | 30 | 69 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 34 | 19 | 53 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 38 | 26 | 64 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 33 | 19 | 52 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 27 | 37 | 64 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 41 | 36 | 77 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 48 | 32 | 80 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 45 | 36 | 81 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 46 | 33 | 79 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 35 | 24 | 59 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 39 | 47 | 86 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 37 | 47 | 84 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 45 | 47 | 92 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 25 | 50 | 75 |
| Total | 878 | 534 | 1,412 |

## 2.3 Number of Projects and Hours Worked

The OFSC has been notified of a total of 1646 directly and indirectly funded contracts for building work, with a combined value of $102.13 billion that had been covered by the Scheme (as at 30 June 2017). Of the 1646 notified contracts, 311 were active and 1,335 were completed at the end of this reporting period.

The data gathered for this current reporting period includes non-Scheme projects valued at less than $4 million. The data prior to the July 2010 reporting period only includes projects with a value of $3 million or more.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Number of Accredited contractors reporting active Scheme projects | Number of active Scheme Projects | Number of Accredited contractors reporting non-Scheme projects | Number of non-Scheme projects where accredited contractor was the head contractor |
| Jul to Dec 2007 | 25 | 42 | 58 | 1,019 |
| Jan to Jun 2008 | 32 | 71 | 85 | 1,212 |
| Jul to Dec 2008 | 44 | 103 | 107 | 1,416 |
| Jan to Jun 2009 | 61 | 128 | 124 | 1,730 |
| Jul to Dec 2009 | 75 | 183 | 145 | 2,170 |
| Jan to Jun 2010 | 94 | 249 | 153 | 2,255 |
| Jul to Dec 2010 | 102 | 293 | 177 | 6,943 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 108 | 329 | 185 | 7,861 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 113 | 343 | 197 | 11,081 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 110 | 357 | 218 | 8,824 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 117 | 347 | 228 | 7,235 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 126 | 339 | 237 | 11,568 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 137 | 362 | 254 | 13,016 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 136 | 335 | 269 | 13,700 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 129 | 306 | 277 | 13,328 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 128 | 295 | 288 | 13,772 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 129 | 289 | 298 | 9,164 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 124 | 296 | 301 | 14,352 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 129 | 300 | 299 | 14,082 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 127 | 311 | 307 | 16,367 |

Hours worked on Scheme and Non-Scheme projects

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Scheme projects  (million hours) | Non-Scheme projects  any value  (million hours) | All projects  (million hours) |
| Jul to Dec 2010 | 41.97 | 147.44 | 189.40 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 26.29 | 135.95 | 162.24 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 31.92 | 135.29 | 167.20 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 29.94 | 139.57 | 169.51 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 43.80 | 131.05 | 174.85 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 33.66 | 135.78 | 169.45 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 31.86 | 152.89 | 184.75 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 30.57 | 137.86 | 168.44 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 30.06 | 151.78 | 181.84 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 27.41 | 149.31 | 176.71 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 26.14 | 146.37 | 172.51 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 25.45 | 147.75 | 173.20 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 31.64 | 165.07 | 196.71 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 31.77 | 154.82 | 186.59 |

# 3 Analysis/Findings

## 3.1 Fatalities

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Number of Fatalities on Scheme projects | Scheme project Fatalities frequency rate | Number of Fatalities on non-Scheme projects | Non-Scheme projects Fatalities frequency rate | Number of Fatalities all projects | All projects Fatalities frequency rate |
| Jul to Dec 2007 | 1 | NA | 0 | NA | 1 | NA |
| Jan to Jun 2008 | 0 | NA | 6 | NA | 6 | NA |
| Jul to Dec 2008 | 0 | NA | 4 | NA | 4 | NA |
| Jan to Jun 2009 | 1 | NA | 4 | NA | 5 | NA |
| Jul to Dec 2009 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 1.07 | 1 | 0.92 |
| Jan to Jun 2010 | 1 | 4.36 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.66 |
| Jul to Dec 2010 | 2 | 4.77 | 2 | 1.35 | 4 | 2.39 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.70 | 1 | 0.60 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 3 | 9.40 | 3 | 2.22 | 6 | 3.59 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 4.85 | 6 | 3.90 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 2 | 4.57 | 3 | 2.29 | 5 | 2.86 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 2 | 5.94 | 3 | 2.21 | 5 | 2.95 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.66 | 1 | 0.54 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 1.32 | 2 | 1.10 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.67 | 1 | 0.57 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 1.37 | 2 | 1.16 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 1 | 3.96 | 1 | 0.68 | 2 | 1.16 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 1.21 | 2 | 1.02 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 2 | 6.29 | 2 | 1.29 | 4 | 2.14 |

## 3.2 Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR)

Both the Scheme and non-Scheme project Winsorised mean LTIFRs for this period are lower than the average of the corresponding periods for the previous five years.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Scheme project median | Scheme project Arithmetic mean | Scheme project Winsorised mean | Non-Scheme project median | Non-Scheme project Arithmetic mean | Non-Scheme Project Winsorised mean |
| Jul to Dec 2007 | 0.00 | 6.94 | 4.04 | 4.65 | 10.06 | 7.52 |
| Jan to Jun 2008 | 0.00 | 9.24 | 8.72 | 4.95 | 10.41 | 9.05 |
| Jul to Dec 2008 | 0.00 | 7.44 | 6.21 | 4.65 | 12.22 | 7.36 |
| Jan to Jun 2009 | 0.00 | 12.86 | 10.35 | 3.50 | 11.54 | 6.10 |
| Jul to Dec 2009 | 0.00 | 9.36 | 7.68 | 3.00 | 11.61 | 8.28 |
| Jan to Jun 2010 | 0.00 | 21.99 | 3.21 | 3.73 | 11.34 | 8.61 |
| Jul to Dec 2010 | 0.00 | 5.54 | 3.43 | 0.00 | 13.83 | 4.76 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 0.00 | 10.17 | 3.98 | 0.00 | 8.97 | 3.97 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 0.00 | 20.60 | 6.82 | 0.60 | 8.01 | 5.45 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 0.00 | 4.14 | 2.04 | 0.00 | 7.38 | 4.30 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 0.00 | 13.54 | 1.88 | 0.00 | 6.15 | 2.71 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 0.00 | 6.10 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 21.60 | 3.87 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 0.00 | 8.88 | 3.93 | 0.00 | 7.69 | 3.13 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 0.00 | 11.03 | 1.40 | 0.00 | 7.42 | 3.88 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 0.00 | 4.61 | 2.56 | 0.00 | 5.47 | 2.78 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 0.00 | 3.37 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 4.64 | 2.69 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 0.00 | 7.30 | 1.35 | 0.00 | 5.49 | 2.60 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 0.00 | 5.49 | 1.24 | 0.00 | 4.23 | 1.86 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 0.00 | 3.66 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 3.40 | 1.77 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 0.00 | 2.45 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 3.39 | 1.92 |
| Jan to Jun 2012-16 average | | | 1.60 | Jan to Jun 2012-16 average | | 3.32 |

**Scheme LTIFR by construction type**

When separated by industry sector, Scheme work carried out by accredited contractors on Commercial projects recorded the highest Winsorised Mean LTIFR (0.66), followed by Civil projects (0.31) and Residential projects (0.00).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Residential | Civil | Commercial | All |
| Mean | 0.16 | 1.70 | 3.33 | 2.45 |
| Median | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Winsorised Mean | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.66 | 0.51 |

**Non-Scheme LTIFR by construction type**

Non-Scheme work carried out by accredited contractors on Commercial projects recorded the highest Winsorised Mean LTIFR (2.95), followed by Residential projects (1.57) and Civil projects (0.23).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Residential | Civil | Commercial | All |
| Mean | 5.04 | 2.21 | 3.88 | 3.39 |
| Median | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Winsorised Mean | 1.57 | 0.23 | 2.95 | 1.92 |

## 3.3 Medically Treated Injury Frequency Rate (MTIFR)

Both the Scheme and non-Scheme project Winsorised mean MTIFRs for this period are lower than the average of the corresponding periods for the previous five years.

The Scheme project Winsorised mean MTIFR is consistently significantly lower than for non-Scheme projects; the average difference since July 2007 is 79.69 per cent.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Scheme project median | Scheme project Arithmetic mean | Scheme project Winsorised mean | Non-Scheme project median | Non-Scheme project Arithmetic mean | Non-Scheme Project Winsorised mean |
| Jul to Dec 2007 | 0.00 | 12.06 | 9.53 | 19.90 | 26.23 | 23.32 |
| Jan to Jun 2008 | 0.00 | 18.06 | 16.29 | 19.00 | 29.39 | 24.36 |
| Jul to Dec 2008 | 2.78 | 21.79 | 14.50 | 13.18 | 21.10 | 16.67 |
| Jan to Jun 2009 | 8.58 | 33.93 | 22.78 | 14.32 | 26.82 | 17.21 |
| Jul to Dec 2009 | 13.04 | 21.84 | 16.62 | 18.17 | 38.51 | 28.73 |
| Jan to Jun 2010 | 0.00 | 34.67 | 16.95 | 21.03 | 40.15 | 28.45 |
| Jul to Dec 2010 | 0.00 | 11.30 | 6.44 | 12.71 | 63.91 | 21.07 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 0.00 | 19.93 | 8.12 | 11.02 | 36.31 | 20.00 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 0.00 | 16.30 | 7.23 | 10.83 | 34.12 | 18.10 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 0.00 | 13.13 | 9.65 | 10.24 | 32.21 | 18.69 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 0.00 | 14.77 | 5.00 | 9.08 | 40.91 | 17.16 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 0.00 | 7.52 | 3.78 | 8.28 | 29.95 | 16.89 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 0.00 | 11.96 | 5.52 | 8.36 | 52.12 | 16.90 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 0.00 | 6.58 | 4.47 | 7.22 | 22.02 | 14.44 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 0.00 | 7.95 | 3.95 | 5.60 | 34.36 | 15.32 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 0.00 | 7.47 | 3.81 | 5.27 | 22.43 | 14.48 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 0.00 | 10.44 | 3.83 | 4.11 | 24.55 | 14.10 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 0.00 | 9.92 | 5.04 | 2.81 | 22.01 | 10.21 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 0.00 | 8.37 | 4.95 | 3.67 | 23.86 | 10.57 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 3.79 | 2.69 | 18.55 | 12.42 |
| Jan to Jun 2012-16 average | | | 5.35 | Jan to Jun 2012-16 average | | 14.94 |

**Scheme MTIFR by construction type**

Scheme Commercial projects recorded the highest Winsorised Mean MTIFR (8.93), followed by Civil projects (1.77) and Residential projects (0.00). The Winsorised mean MTIFR for all construction types were significantly lower than their arithmetic mean; the result of a number of high outliers.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Residential | Civil | Commercial | All |
| Mean | 0.32 | 2.95 | 12.02 | 6.67 |
| Median | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Winsorised Mean | 0.00 | 1.77 | 8.93 | 3.79 |

**Non-Scheme MTIFR by construction type**

Non-Scheme Commercial projects recorded the highest Winsorised Mean MTIFR (12.16), followed by Civil projects (11.56) and Residential projects (11.17). The Winsorised mean MTIFR for all types of construction were significantly lower than their arithmetic means; the result of a number of high outliers.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Residential | Civil | Commercial | All |
| Mean | 15.85 | 16.15 | 20.86 | 18.55 |
| Median | 0.00 | 0.90 | 5.72 | 2.69 |
| Winsorised Mean | 11.17 | 11.56 | 12.16 | 12.42 |

## 3.4 Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR)

In response to industry feedback the OFSC has included a Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR) calculation to the biannual data analysis report, commencing from the January to June 2014 biannual period onwards.

***Note: TRIFR does not include hours worked on projects less than $3 million, or fatalities on projects less than $3 million.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Scheme project median | Scheme project Arithmetic mean | Scheme project Winsorised mean | Non-Scheme project median | Non-Scheme project Arithmetic mean | Non-Scheme Project Winsorised mean |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 0.00 | 17.61 | 8.04 | 12.05 | 29.44 | 20.65 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 0.00 | 12.56 | 7.40 | 10.07 | 39.83 | 20.44 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 0.00 | 10.84 | 6.89 | 8.23 | 27.09 | 18.58 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 0.00 | 17.74 | 8.25 | 7.18 | 30.05 | 18.32 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 0.00 | 15.42 | 10.04 | 4.70 | 26.24 | 13.72 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 0.00 | 12.04 | 6.32 | 7.73 | 27.27 | 13.68 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 0.00 | 9.15 | 4.98 | 5.74 | 22.17 | 16.21 |

**Scheme TRIFR by construction type**

Scheme Commercial construction projects recorded the highest Winsorised Mean TRIFR (10.44), followed by Civil projects (3.25) and Residential projects (0.00).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Residential | Civil | Commercial | All |
| Mean | 0.47 | 4.71 | 15.36 | 9.15 |
| Median | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Winsorised Mean | 0.00 | 3.25 | 10.44 | 4.98 |

**Non-Scheme TRIFR by construction type**

Non-Scheme Commercial projects recorded the highest Winsorised Mean TRIFR (16.16), followed by Civil projects (14.75) and Residential projects (13.38).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Residential | Civil | Commercial | All |
| Mean | 20.89 | 18.84 | 24.74 | 22.17 |
| Median | 0.00 | 2.17 | 9.00 | 5.74 |
| Winsorised Mean | 13.38 | 14.75 | 16.16 | 16.21 |

## 3.5 LTIFR/MTIFR/TRIFR Summary

The graph below summarises the Winsorised Mean LTIFR figures across construction types and Scheme and non-Scheme projects. The non-Scheme LTIFR exceeds the Scheme LTIFR on all construction types except Civil.

The following graph summarises the Winsorised Mean MTIFR figures across construction types and Scheme and non-Scheme projects. The non-Scheme MTIFR exceeds the Scheme MTIFR on all construction types.

The following graph summarises the Winsorised Mean TRIFR figures across construction types and Scheme and non-Scheme projects. The non-Scheme TRIFR exceeds the Scheme TRIFR on all construction types.

## 3.6 Number of Notices Issued

Part E of the Biannual Report records the outcomes of work cover assessments or court actions issued by the relevant WHS authority of the jurisdiction in which the project is being undertaken. Accredited contractors report the number of notices issued to them as the head contractor or subcontractor, and notices issued to their subcontractors working on site during the period. The types of notices are:

**Infringement**

WHS regulations may allow for infringement notices to be issued as an alternative to prosecution for an offence that is not indictable.

**Prohibition**

Prohibition notices are issued for any work that involves or will involve an immediate risk to the health, safety and welfare of any person.

**Improvement**

Issued if the WHS authority believes someone has contravened the Act or regulations of the jurisdiction, or that a contravention may continue to be repeated. An improvement notice may also include directions about how to remedy a breach.

**Other – (e.g. enforceable undertakings)**

A WHS related notice (other than an infringement, prohibition or improvement notice) issued by the relevant WHS authority in the jurisdiction in which the project is being undertaken.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Infringement Notices | Prohibition Notices | Improvement Notices | Other Notices (e.g. enforceable undertakings) | Total Notices |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 10 | 63 | 140 | 7 | 220 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 2 | 51 | 137 | 1 | 191 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 4 | 52 | 136 | 5 | 197 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 46 | 46 | 143 | 5 | 240 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 8 | 41 | 112 | 5 | 166 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 1 | 43 | 104 | 7 | 155 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 5 | 39 | 126 | 3 | 173 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 0 | 35 | 114 | 4 | 153 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 0 | 24 | 43 | 7 | 74 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 0 | 10 | 52 | 11 | 73 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 3 | 21 | 54 | 4 | 82 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 3 | 19 | 69 | 8 | 99 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 3 | 31 | 115 | 8 | 157 |

# 4 Incidents

Accredited contractors are required to provide incident reports for lost time injuries, medically treated injuries and notifiable dangerous occurrences that occur on Scheme projects, as well as lost time injuries that occur on non-Scheme projects valued at greater than $4 million. Incident reports for all fatalities—regardless of project value—must also be submitted.

## 4.1 Nature of Injury

*Wounds, lacerations, amputations and internal organ damage* injuries (36.56 per cent) have slightly decreased when compared to the average of the corresponding periods for the previous five years, but still remains the highest occurring category. *Traumatic joint/ligament and muscle/tendons* injuries account for 29.25 per cent of all reported incidents*.*

Since the January to June 2012 reporting period, *Wounds, lacerations, amputations and internal organ damage* injuries and *Traumatic joint/ligament and muscle/tendons* injuries have been the first and second most reported injury category respectively and on average these two categories make up over 67 per cent of the total.

The *Other diseases and claims* category was included from the January to June 2016 reporting period to collect data pertaining to mental illnesses and all other injuries not previously captured.

**Nature of Injury**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Injury A | Injury B | Injury C | Injury D | Injury E | Injury F | Injury G | Injury H | Injury I |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 0.76% | 10.51% | 32.57% | 1.43% | 1.24% | 33.81% | 19.20% | 0.48% | - |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 0.87% | 9.89% | 38.57% | 1.24% | 1.11% | 31.77% | 15.70% | 0.87% | - |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 0.81% | 12.53% | 37.06% | 2.02% | 1.62% | 26.95% | 17.65% | 1.35% | - |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 0.16% | 12.28% | 43.22% | 2.71% | 0.48% | 21.69% | 19.14% | 0.32% | - |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 0.78% | 13.40% | 36.92% | 2.49% | 0.93% | 28.97% | 14.95% | 1.56% | - |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 0.18% | 15.64% | 39.54% | 0.70% | 1.05% | 26.89% | 15.29% | 0.70% | - |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 0.74% | 13.84% | 36.72% | 0.55% | 0.37% | 31.18% | 16.24% | 0.37% | - |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 0.21% | 15.00% | 38.96% | 2.29% | 0.63% | 29.58% | 13.33% | 0.00% | - |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 0.48% | 14.80% | 39.62% | 1.19% | 0.48% | 29.83% | 13.60% | 0.00% | - |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 1.17% | 14.72% | 42.99% | 2.10% | 1.17% | 25.23% | 11.92% | 0.47% | 0.23% |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 0.48% | 17.27% | 43.65% | 0.48% | 1.20% | 24.22% | 11.51% | 0.48% | 0.72% |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 0.86% | 15.91% | 36.56% | 1.51% | 0.65% | 29.25% | 12.90% | 0.86% | 1.51% |

**Nature of Injury Categories**

Injury A. Intracranial injuries

Injury B. Fractures

Injury C. Wounds, lacerations, amputations and internal organ damage

Injury D. Burns

Injury E. Injury to nerves and spinal cord

Injury F. Traumatic joint/ligament and muscle/tendon injury

Injury G. Other injuries

Injury H. Diseases and conditions

Injury I. Other diseases and claims

## 4.2 Mechanism of Injury

The top four mechanisms of injury reported to the OFSC were *Being hit by moving objects* (28.96 per cent), *Falls, trips and slips of a person* (24.52 per cent), *Hitting objects with part of the body* (22.83 per cent) and *Body Stressing* (16.49 per cent). These mechanisms account for 92.81 per cent of all injuries reported during the period. These are the same four categories that were identified in the corresponding period in 2016.

**Mechanism of Injury**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Mech. 0 | Mech. 1 | Mech. 2 | Mech. 3 | Mech. 4 | Mech. 5 | Mech. 6 | Mech. 7 | Mech. 8 | Mech. 9 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 20.92% | 21.78% | 23.78% | 0.29% | 24.74% | 2.10% | 1.91% | 0.67% | 0.00% | 3.82% |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 21.26% | 23.49% | 25.34% | 0.00% | 20.64% | 2.84% | 2.10% | 1.73% | 0.25% | 2.35% |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 21.83% | 20.89% | 28.57% | 0.13% | 19.54% | 3.91% | 1.62% | 0.94% | 0.27% | 2.29% |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 15.31% | 24.40% | 31.74% | 1.12% | 17.38% | 4.15% | 2.71% | 0.32% | 0.16% | 2.71% |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 19.00% | 19.78% | 28.97% | 0.62% | 22.90% | 2.65% | 2.02% | 0.62% | 0.00% | 3.43% |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 23.20% | 25.31% | 26.36% | 0.18% | 18.45% | 1.41% | 0.88% | 0.88% | 0.00% | 3.34% |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 26.94% | 18.82% | 30.26% | 0.18% | 16.61% | 1.66% | 2.21% | 0.92% | 0.00% | 2.40% |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 25.36% | 22.45% | 28.07% | 0.21% | 16.01% | 2.49% | 1.46% | 1.04% | 0.42% | 2.49% |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 27.45% | 23.63% | 25.78% | 0.00% | 15.75% | 1.67% | 2.15% | 0.24% | 0.24% | 3.10% |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 24.88% | 23.72% | 29.53% | 0.23% | 14.42% | 2.79% | 1.40% | 0.70% | 0.47% | 1.86% |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 26.37% | 24.47% | 28.74% | 0.24% | 15.20% | 0.24% | 1.66% | 0.48% | 0.00% | 2.61% |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 24.52% | 22.83% | 28.96% | 0.63% | 16.49% | 1.48% | 2.11% | 0.21% | 0.21% | 2.54% |

**Mechanism of Injury Categories**

Mechanism 0. Falls, trips and slips of a person

Mechanism 1. Hitting objects with part of the body

Mechanism 2. Being hit by moving objects

Mechanism 3. Sound and pressure

Mechanism 4. Body stressing

Mechanism 5. Heat, electricity and other environmental factors

Mechanism 6. Chemical and other substances

Mechanism 7. Biological factors

Mechanism 8. Mental stress

Mechanism 9. Vehicle incidents and other

## 4.3 Location of Injury

Over seventy-two per cent of injuries reported were sustained to *upper limbs* (40.38 per cent) and *lower limbs*(31.71 per cent).

**Location of Injury**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Loc. 1 | Loc. 2 | Loc. 3 | Loc. 4 | Loc. 5 | Loc. 6 | Loc. 7 | Loc. 8 | Loc. 9 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 10.51% | 1.81% | 20.92% | 34.48% | 27.22% | 2.29% | 0.29% | 0.19% | 2.29% |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 9.52% | 2.10% | 16.81% | 37.33% | 28.55% | 2.10% | 0.25% | 0.62% | 2.72% |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 11.19% | 1.21% | 17.12% | 34.91% | 27.49% | 3.50% | 0.27% | 0.27% | 4.04% |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 12.12% | 1.12% | 14.83% | 36.84% | 28.71% | 2.55% | 0.32% | 0.64% | 2.87% |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 10.44% | 1.25% | 13.86% | 40.65% | 28.19% | 3.58% | 0.47% | 0.31% | 1.25% |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 8.44% | 2.64% | 15.11% | 38.84% | 30.58% | 1.76% | 0.88% | 0.18% | 1.58% |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 8.49% | 2.03% | 16.61% | 38.56% | 29.52% | 1.66% | 0.92% | 0.00% | 2.21% |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 8.73% | 1.87% | 13.51% | 40.75% | 30.98% | 2.29% | 0.00% | 0.62% | 1.25% |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 9.79% | 1.67% | 14.56% | 41.29% | 28.64% | 3.10% | 0.24% | 0.24% | 0.48% |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 8.60% | 1.63% | 15.12% | 41.40% | 29.30% | 1.63% | 0.23% | 0.47% | 1.63% |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 6.18% | 1.90% | 11.64% | 41.09% | 33.97% | 2.61% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.84% |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 6.13% | 1.48% | 13.74% | 40.38% | 31.71% | 2.33% | 1.06% | 0.42% | 2.75% |

**Location of Injury Categories**

Location 1. Head

Location 2. Neck

Location 3. Trunk

Location 4. Upper limbs

Location 5. Lower limbs

Location 6. Multiple locations

Location 7. Systemic location

Location 8. Non-physical location

Location 9. Unspecified locations

## 4.4 High-risk Construction Work

When submitting incident reports, accredited contractors are required to disclose – where applicable – what was the most significant high-risk construction work taking place at the time of the incident. Of the incident reports submitted, 51 per cent nominated high-risk construction work as having been undertaken at the time of the incident. The three most common categories of high-risk work taking place at the time of an incident were:

* construction work on construction sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant (28.65 per cent)
* construction work with risk of a person falling two metres or more (28.65 per cent)
* construction work on or adjacent to roadways or railways used by road or rail traffic (15.73 per cent)

\*See glossary for high-risk construction work details.

**High-risk Construction Work**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | Jul to Dec 2011 | Jan to Jun 2012 | Jul to Dec 2012 | Jan to Jun 2013 | Jul to Dec 2013 | Jan to Jun 2014 | Jul to Dec 2014 | Jan to Jun 2015 | Jul to Dec 2015 | Jan to Jun 2016 | Jul to Dec 2016 | Jan to Jun 2017 |
| Risk 1 | 20.81% | 16.73% | 16.46% | 11.63% | 13.68% | 17.90% | 16.95% | 15.65% | 20.00% | 26.04% | 25.68% | 28.65% |
| Risk 2 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.41% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.23% | 0.56% | 0.68% | 2.96% | 2.37% | 0.55% | 0.56% |
| Risk 3 | 2.54% | 4.67% | 1.65% | 2.33% | 3.16% | 3.70% | 3.95% | 2.04% | 2.96% | 5.92% | 3.28% | 3.37% |
| Risk 4 | 0.00% | 1.56% | 0.82% | 1.86% | 3.16% | 3.70% | 0.56% | 6.12% | 1.48% | 0.00% | 3.28% | 1.69% |
| Risk 5 | 2.03% | 1.95% | 2.06% | 2.33% | 2.11% | 5.56% | 9.04% | 6.12% | 5.93% | 4.14% | 0.55% | 3.37% |
| Risk 6 | 0.00% | 1.17% | 0.82% | 0.00% | 0.53% | 0.62% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.55% | 1.12% |
| Risk 7 | 2.54% | 1.95% | 2.47% | 3.26% | 3.68% | 1.85% | 2.26% | 0.68% | 2.22% | 4.14% | 4.92% | 0.56% |
| Risk 8 | 0.51% | 1.95% | 7.00% | 5.58% | 3.16% | 6.79% | 3.95% | 5.44% | 0.74% | 0.00% | 2.19% | 3.37% |
| Risk 9 | 0.00% | 0.39% | 0.41% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Risk 10 | 0.00% | 1.56% | 0.00% | 3.72% | 2.63% | 4.94% | 0.56% | 3.40% | 1.48% | 2.37% | 3.83% | 1.12% |
| Risk 11 | 0.51% | 1.56% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 1.36% | 0.74% | 0.00% | 0.55% | 0.00% |
| Risk 12 | 2.54% | 8.56% | 8.23% | 13.02% | 10.00% | 4.32% | 9.04% | 10.20% | 14.07% | 11.24% | 8.74% | 6.18% |
| Risk 13 | 0.00% | 1.17% | 1.23% | 0.47% | 0.53% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.68% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.55% | 0.56% |
| Risk 14 | 7.11% | 8.17% | 4.12% | 2.79% | 3.16% | 3.09% | 1.69% | 2.04% | 0.00% | 0.59% | 1.09% | 3.37% |
| Risk 15 | 30.46% | 19.46% | 20.58% | 18.14% | 15.79% | 11.11% | 13.56% | 12.24% | 5.93% | 12.43% | 13.66% | 15.73% |
| Risk 16 | 27.92% | 26.46% | 32.92% | 33.95% | 35.26% | 33.95% | 36.72% | 33.33% | 40.00% | 28.40% | 29.51% | 28.65% |
| Risk 17 | 1.02% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.47% | 0.53% | 0.00% | 0.56% | 0.00% | 0.74% | 0.59% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Risk 18 | 2.03% | 2.33% | 0.82% | 0.00% | 2.63% | 1.23% | 0.56% | 0.00% | 0.74% | 1.78% | 0.55% | 1.69% |
| Risk 19 | 0.00% | 0.39% | 0.00% | 0.47% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.55% | 0.00% |

Since January 2012 the top high risk construction category has been 16 - *Construction work on construction-sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant*. The increased occurrence of incidents in this category could be attributed to the prevalence of mobile plant on most construction sites. Mobile Plant continues to be one of the main hazards reviewed at audit.

For the last 7 consecutive reporting periods, the second highest rated category has been 1 - *Construction work with risk of a person falling two metres or more.*

Risk 7 *- Construction work involving excavation to a depth greater than 1.5m* recorded the lowest rate of occurrence since the OFSC began collecting this data in July 2011. There was an 86.47 per cent decrease compared to the corresponding period in January to June 2016.

Risk 14 – *Tilt-up and precast concrete construction work* recorded a sizeable increase (471.19 per cent) from 0.59 per cent in the January to June 2016 period compared to 3.37 per cent in the current period.

\*See glossary for high-risk construction work details.

## 4.5 Working Time Lost

There has been no change in the most common length of working time lost since the OFSC began collecting this information in July to December 2011. B*etween one and three days* remains the highest ranking category, followed by *one week to less than two weeks.* There is consistently a significant percentage difference between the first and second highest categories (average 19 per cent). Over 73 per cent of workers who suffered a lost time injury returned to work in less than two weeks.

**Working Time Lost**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 45.26% | 22.40% | 13.98% | 9.47% | 7.22% | 1.65% |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 46.41% | 17.66% | 13.55% | 12.53% | 8.21% | 1.64% |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 34.79% | 25.00% | 13.75% | 13.33% | 9.58% | 3.54% |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 46.67% | 23.20% | 12.27% | 8.80% | 6.67% | 2.40% |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 38.67% | 20.82% | 17.85% | 13.73% | 7.09% | 1.83% |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 41.71% | 22.61% | 15.83% | 11.31% | 7.79% | 0.75% |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 42.75% | 21.75% | 12.25% | 13.75% | 7.00% | 2.50% |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 41.71% | 21.14% | 16.29% | 12.29% | 6.57% | 2.00% |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 40.57% | 14.15% | 18.55% | 14.78% | 7.86% | 4.09% |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 35.59% | 25.76% | 14.24% | 12.88% | 10.51% | 1.02% |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 34.28% | 18.02% | 20.14% | 14.84% | 10.60% | 2.12% |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 35.08% | 18.03% | 20.66% | 16.07% | 8.85% | 1.31% |

## 4.6 Age Breakdown

Over 72 per cent of injured workers were below the age of 45. The 25-34 age bracket continues to account for the highest number of reported incidents (34.18 per cent). There has been a significant increase (37.45 per cent) in the number of incidents reported for the 65 and over age bracket when compared to the average of the corresponding periods for the previous five years.

**Incident Age Breakdown**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | 15-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65 & Over |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 19.77% | 28.65% | 21.97% | 19.96% | 8.69% | 0.96% |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 20.77% | 28.18% | 22.13% | 20.02% | 7.66% | 1.24% |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 17.84% | 33.24% | 25.00% | 15.14% | 7.43% | 1.35% |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 18.20% | 33.33% | 20.93% | 16.59% | 9.66% | 1.29% |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 19.55% | 34.62% | 23.24% | 13.30% | 8.65% | 0.64% |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 19.48% | 31.84% | 25.47% | 16.48% | 5.99% | 0.75% |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 19.05% | 30.67% | 20.38% | 18.86% | 9.14% | 1.90% |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 16.99% | 35.46% | 23.14% | 15.50% | 8.28% | 0.64% |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 12.50% | 37.74% | 21.88% | 17.07% | 10.58% | 0.24% |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 20.70% | 33.95% | 20.93% | 15.35% | 8.37% | 0.70% |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 17.30% | 31.75% | 20.38% | 17.30% | 12.09% | 1.18% |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 15.29% | 34.18% | 23.35% | 17.20% | 8.70% | 1.27% |

## 4.7 Injured Worker’s Occupation

Over 90 per cent of people injured in reports submitted to the OFSC were Tradesmen (48.63 per cent) or Labourers (42.28 per cent). This period recorded a significant increase (114.92 per cent) in the number of incidents reported where the worker’s occupation was *5. Managers and administration,* when compared to the average of the corresponding periods for the previous five years.

**Workers Occupation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Period | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 42.22% | 47.47% | 1.15% | 1.43% | 1.72% | 0.19% | 5.83% |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 45.49% | 44.99% | 2.10% | 1.11% | 1.48% | 0.37% | 4.45% |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 41.37% | 48.92% | 2.02% | 0.54% | 2.16% | 0.13% | 4.85% |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 42.58% | 47.69% | 1.91% | 0.48% | 1.91% | 0.00% | 5.42% |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 37.85% | 49.84% | 2.34% | 0.93% | 2.18% | 0.31% | 6.54% |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 41.65% | 47.28% | 1.05% | 1.05% | 2.11% | 0.53% | 6.33% |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 40.59% | 48.89% | 1.48% | 1.29% | 1.48% | 0.18% | 6.09% |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 47.40% | 42.62% | 0.83% | 1.66% | 1.87% | 0.00% | 5.61% |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 38.19% | 54.18% | 1.43% | 1.91% | 1.91% | 0.24% | 2.15% |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 42.09% | 49.30% | 2.33% | 0.93% | 0.47% | 0.47% | 4.42% |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 40.38% | 41.33% | 3.09% | 2.14% | 3.33% | 0.24% | 9.50% |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 42.28% | 48.63% | 1.48% | 1.06% | 3.17% | 0.00% | 3.38% |

## 4.8 Dangerous Occurrences

The OFSC encourages companies to accurately report Dangerous Occurrences both internally and to external bodies such as the OFSC. A Dangerous Occurrence (or ‘near miss’) can be as revealing of WHS system inadequacies as an incident that *does* result in an injury or fatality.

Fifty-one Scheme Dangerous Occurrences were reported to the OFSC in the January to June 2017 reporting period.

There was again some correlation between the circumstances of the Dangerous Occurrences reported to the OFSC and those of the incidents resulting in injury. The two most common high-risk work nominations in Dangerous Occurrence incident reports were also the two most commonly nominated in LTI/MTI/Fatality reports (*Construction work on construction-sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant* and *Construction work with risk of a person falling two metres or more*).

Since Dangerous Occurrences data has been collected, the number of companies reporting Dangerous Occurrences for the January to June period has progressively decreased from 30 per cent to 16 per cent.

**Dangerous Occurrences**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Period | Dangerous Occurrences |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 79 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 89 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 83 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 84 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 76 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 53 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 49 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 58 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 46 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 54 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 63 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 51 |

## 4.9 Workers’ Compensation

Accredited contractors continue to be well below the industry average for Workers Compensation Premium Rates.

**Accredited Contractors**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Period** | **Mean premium rate ACT %** | **Mean premium rate NSW %** | **Mean premium rate NT**  **%** | **Mean premium rate QLD %** | **Mean premium rate SA**  **%** | **Mean premium rate TAS %** | **Mean premium rate VIC %** | **Mean premium rate WA %** |
| Jul to Dec 2007 | 5.589 | 3.069 | 2.675 | 1.346 | 2.94 | . | 3.098 | 2.496 |
| Jan to Jun 2008 | 4.962 | 3.508 | 2.355 | 1.438 | 3.037 | . | 2.054 | 3.348 |
| Jul to Dec 2008 | 4.274 | 3.106 | 2.261 | 1.568 | 3.75 | 1.087 | 2.297 | 2.066 |
| Jan to Jun 2009 | 3.742 | 2.811 | 1.973 | 1.117 | 3.832 | 1.155 | 2.289 | 2.342 |
| Jul to Dec 2009 | 3.849 | 3.351 | 2.376 | 1.424 | 3.695 | 1.302 | 2.202 | 1.948 |
| Jan to Jun 2010 | 3.521 | 2.975 | 2.372 | 1.316 | 3.56 | 1.475 | 2.27 | 1.731 |
| Jul to Dec 2010 | 3.025 | 3.051 | 2.389 | 1.548 | 3.845 | 1.015 | 1.98 | 1.896 |
| Jan to Jun 2011 | 3.699 | 3.014 | 2.31 | 1.449 | 3.668 | 1.701 | 1.905 | 1.767 |
| Jul to Dec 2011 | 3.534 | 3.019 | 2.028 | 1.735 | 2.913 | 2.277 | 1.746 | 1.518 |
| Jan to Jun 2012 | 3.712 | 3.102 | 3.508 | 1.717 | 3.204 | 2.014 | 1.68 | 3.048 |
| Jul to Dec 2012 | 3.488 | 3.177 | 2.303 | 1.702 | 2.981 | 1.858 | 1.773 | 1.568 |
| Jan to Jun 2013 | 3.442 | 3.217 | 2.324 | 1.769 | 2.801 | 1.935 | 1.584 | 1.627 |
| Jul to Dec 2013 | 3.318 | 2.906 | 2.334 | 1.728 | 2.705 | 2.275 | 1.531 | 1.466 |
| Jan to Jun 2014 | 3.75 | 2.851 | 2.125 | 1.713 | 2.805 | 2.234 | 1.524 | 1.533 |
| Jul to Dec 2014 | 3.303 | 2.529 | 1.913 | 1.558 | 2.749 | 2.126 | 1.49 | 1.471 |
| Jan to Jun 2015 | 3.02 | 2.461 | 2.046 | 1.423 | 2.517 | 1.938 | 1.461 | 1.359 |
| Jul to Dec 2015 | 3.162 | 2.507 | 2.115 | 1.447 | 2.523 | 2.095 | 1.465 | 1.37 |
| Jan to Jun 2016 | 2.79 | 2.397 | 2.149 | 1.519 | 2.516 | 2.043 | 1.565 | 1.331 |
| Jul to Dec 2016 | 3.141 | 2.476 | 2.285 | 1.473 | 2.305 | 2.092 | 1.359 | 1.337 |
| Jan to Jun 2017 | 3.49 | 2.441 | 2.304 | 1.489 | 2.512 | 1.948 | 1.461 | 1.345 |

**Industry**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Period** | **Mean premium rate ACT %** | **Mean premium rate NSW %** | **Mean premium rate NT**  **%** | **Mean premium rate QLD %** | **Mean premium rate SA %** | **Mean premium rate TAS %** | **Mean premium rate VIC %** | **Mean premium rate WA %** |
| Non-residential construction September 2015[[2]](#footnote-2) | NA | 2.858 | NA | 2.292 | 2.58 | 3.4 | 1.593 | 1.75 |

## 5 Awards and Recognition

During this reporting period accredited contractors have been the recipients of a number of prestigious safety awards, including—but not limited to—the following:

* Colin Joss & Co Pty Ltd won the Master Builders Association of NSW inaugural ‘Safe Work NSW - Commercial’ award.
* Fulton Hogan Construction Pty Ltd won the 2017 Australian Asphalt Pavement Association QLD ‘Safety Initiative’ award for the ‘Step Change in Safety’ program.
* Hazell Bros (QLD) Pty Ltd won the 2017 Cement Concrete and Aggregates Australia Tasmania ‘Health and Safety Innovation’ award for the ‘Fit for Work, Fit for Life’ program.
* Manteena Commercial Pty Ltd won the 2017 Master Builders Association of the ACT ‘Work Health and Safety - Project Displaying Technical Difficulty’ award.
* Shaw Building Group Pty Ltd won the 2017 Master Builders Association of the ACT ‘Work Health and Safety – Residential’ award.
* Woden Contractors Pty Ltd won the 2017 Master Builders Association of the ACT ‘Work Health and Safety – Civil’ award.

## 6 Initiatives


Accredited contractors submit details of any safety initiatives developed by their company during the reporting period. Many of these initiatives will form the basis of case studies and fact sheets to be published on fsc.gov.au over the coming months.

## Glossary


**Arithmetic mean (average)** - The mean is the sum of all the scores divided by the number of scores.

**Dangerous occurrence** - An incident where no person is injured, but could have been injured, resulting in Serious Personal Injury, Incapacity or Death. Also commonly called a “near miss”.

**Fatality Frequency Rate** – Fatality Frequency rates are calculated as follows:



**Frequency rate** - Frequency rates are calculated as follows:



**High-risk construction work hazards**

1. Construction work where there is a risk of a person falling two metres or more
2. Construction work on telecommunications towers
3. Construction work involving demolition
4. Construction work involving the disturbance or removal of asbestos
5. Construction work involving structural alterations that require temporary support to prevent collapse
6. Construction work involving a confined space
7. Construction work involving excavation to a depth greater than 1.5 metres
8. The construction of tunnels
9. Construction work involving the use of explosives
10. Construction work on or near pressurised gas distribution mains and consumer piping
11. Construction work on or near chemical, fuel or refrigerant lines
12. Construction work on or near energised electrical installations and services
13. Construction work in an area that may have a contaminated or flammable atmosphere
14. Tilt-up and precast concrete construction work
15. Construction work on or adjacent to roadways or railways used by road or rail traffic
16. Work on construction sites where there is any movement of powered mobile plant
17. Construction work in an area where there are artificial extremes of temperature
18. Construction work in, over or adjacent to water or other liquids where there is a risk of drowning
19. Construction work involving diving

**Incident** - An incident resulting in an injury that is required to be notified by the WHS legislative requirement for notifiable incidents in the jurisdiction in which the project is being undertaken.

**LTIFR (Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate)** - The number of occurrences of lost time injury that result in a fatality, a permanent disability or time lost from work of one day shift or more in the period. The number of hours worked refers to the total number of hours worked by all workers in the period, including overtime and extra shifts.

**Mechanism of incident classification**

Major Groups

0. Falls, trips and slips of a person

1. Hitting objects with a part of the body

2. Being hit by moving objects

3. Sound and pressure

4. Body stressing

5. Heat, electricity and other environmental factors

6. Chemicals and other substances

7. Biological factors

8. Mental stress

9. Vehicle incidents and other

**Median** - The median is the middle of a distribution; half the scores are above the median and half are below the median. If the number of values in the data set is even, then the median is the average of the two middle values. The median is less sensitive to extreme scores than the average.

**MTIFR (Medically Treated Injury Frequency Rate)** - The number of occurrences of treatment by, or under the order of, a qualified medical practitioner, or any injury that could be considered as being one that would normally be treated by a medical practitioner. The number of hours worked refers to the total number of hours worked by all workers in the period, including overtime and extra shifts.

**Nature of injury classification**

1. Intracranial injuries
2. Fractures
3. Wounds, lacerations, amputations and internal organ damage
4. Burns
5. Injury to nerves and spinal cord
6. Traumatic joint/ligament and muscle/tendon injury
7. Other injuries
8. Diseases and conditions

**Non-Scheme projects** – Projects where the accredited contractor is the head contractor, the value of building work is $4 million or more, and the project is not a Scheme project.

**Scheme projects** - Projects that are directly funded by the Australian Government with a value of $4 million or more, plus, projects that are indirectly funded by the Australian Government where:

* the value of the Australian Government contribution to the project is at least $5 million and represents at least 50 per cent of the total construction project value; or
* the Australian Government contribution to a project is $10 million or more, irrespective of the proportion of Australian Government funding.

**TRIFR (Total Recorded Injury Frequency Rate)** – The total number of Medically Treated Injuries, Lost Time Injuries and Fatalities in the defined period divided by the number of hours worked in the period, multiplied by one million.

**Winsorised mean** - involves the calculation of the mean after replacing given parts of a distribution at the high and low end with the most extreme remaining values, typically replacing an equal amount of both ends. Often 10 per cent of the ends are replaced. The winsorised mean is a useful estimator because it is less sensitive to outliers than the mean but will still give a reasonable estimate of central tendency.

1. 347 accreditations representing 427 companies. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Source: Safe Work Australia publication Comparison of Workers’ Compensation Arrangements in Australia and New Zealand October 2016, Table 7.6 Selected Industry Premium Rates as at 30 September 2015, pages 222-224. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)